App. F. IX-4

1969-50
(CB)

101
File No. 360-69
Serial Nos. 1 2

Previous References.
CB 158-42-1
CB 197-46-1 (Ser 53-66)
CB 520-42-1
Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1

Part B for Feb 50

SUBJECT

Representation of Sri K Hemsaradappa for grant of Duli Allowance for leave in addition to charge of the duties of the Spl Officer for Observance Audit.

No 10972/CB

D. 16. 2. 50

To

The Director of Anticorruption & Observance Audit
~~to State~~
Bangalore

JR 6/6

OF A.C. AND
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR/EFFICIENCY AUDIT.

No.ACN 63/49-50.
Dt. 10/11-49

ORDER

NOTE

Re:- Duty Allowance for being in additional charge of the duties of the Special Officer for Efficiency Audit.

In pursuance of Memo No.9994-8/C.3. from the Chief Secretary to Government, forwarding copies of Notification No. 9992/C.B. 540-48-1, dated 3-6-1949, and Memo No.9993/C.B. dated 3-6-1949, appointing Sri.D.K.Srinivasachar, then doing duty as Special Officer for Efficiency Audit, to act as Deputy Commissioner and posting him as Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Shimoga District, and placing me in additional charge of the duties of the Special Officer for Efficiency Audit, I relieved Sri. D.K. Srinivasachar on 4-6-'49(afternoon) and assumed additional charge of his duties. I continued to be in that additional charge till 15-9-49, with effect from which date I was appointed Director of Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Audit(vide Notification No.3213/C.B. 101-49-1, dated 7-10-1949). This charge necessarily involved a substantial addition to my own work as Special Officer for Anti-Corruption Measures and under the rules I am entitled to a suitable Charge Allowance in such circumstances. Sri.D.K.Srinivasachar as Special Officer for Efficiency Audit, was drawing, in addition to his pay, a duty allowance of Rs.100/-P.M. and it is requested that I may kindly

[P.T.O.

Daily allowance to Sri Hensaraffa for being in additional charge of the duties of Spl Officer for Efficiency Audit

2111

ORDER | CB NOTE

be permitted to draw the same for the period(viz. from 5-6-'49 to 14-9-'49) during which I happened to be in additional charge of the duties of the Special Officer for Efficiency Audit in addition to my own duties as Special Officer for Anti-Corruption Measures, as stated above.

Minister for Public Health & Excise, through Chief Secretary.

10/11/49

(2) Submitted for perusal under Art 96 of M.S.R. no allowance is admissible to an officer who is placed in additional charge the duties of an additional office, the pay of which is not more than that of his own substantive office [illegible]

'S'

It was directed in GO dt 5/5/47, that when an officer is placed in additional charge of an additional office the pay of which is not more than that of his own office, the officer be allowed a charge allowance of 1/10 of the minimum pay of the additional office or the duty allowance attached thereto, whichever is more.

3) Under the above GO an additional DA may be granted to an officer who is placed in charge of an additional office, carrying a DA. Sri D K Srinivasachar, Spl Officer, Efficiency Audit was granted allowance of Rs 100/- p.m in addition to the DA he was already drawing for having been placed in additional charge of the duties of Spl Officer, Anticorruption when Sri Machiah's services were lent to the Govt of India (vide GO dated 24/7/48). Sri Machiah as Anticorruption Officer was drawing his grade pay as such, while Sri D K Srinivasachar was drawing his grade pay as Senior Asstt Comr. The concession shown to Sri D K Srinivasachar is in accordance with the conditions laid down in Arts 94 and 96 and the Govt orders referred to above.

4) In the present case Sri K. Hanjaraffa was drawing a pay of Rs 1000/- as Spl Officer Anticorruption while Sri D K Srinivasachar, was drawing his grade pay as Senior Asstt Comr when he was posted to act as D C Shimoga and relieved of his charge as Spl Officer, Efficiency Audit by Sri K Hanjaraffa. As the pay of the additional

Representation Sri K. Hanumanthaiya Dir of Anti Corruption and Efficiency Audit 2.11.60

Office held by Sri K. Hanumanthaiya is less than that of his own substantive appointment and no allowance for the additional Office is permissible under the rules.

5) However, in the circumstances represented, the proposal is for orders with financial concurrence

by 14/4/61 6) The pay of the appointments has not fixed in the case of the Special Officers for Efficiency Audit and Anti-Corruption Measures. As Special Officer for Anti-Corruption Measures, Sri C.D. Mackia was drawing a special grade pay of his own plus duty allowance and when Sri D. L. Srinivasachar was placed in additional charge the latter's grade pay as Senior Asst. Comr. happened to be lower than that which Sri Mackia was drawing before he went over to the

Serial No.

Govt of India.

7) In the present case Sri Hanumappa who was drawing a pay of Rs 1000/ was placed in additional charge of another appointment the pay of which is not fixed but the last holder of which was drawing a Grade pay of Rs. 500-25-600 that is, less than the pay drawn by Sri Hanumappa. The Fl. Br. may be consulted whether the G.O. of May 1947 is applicable to this case and whether the words "the pay of which is not more than that of his own office" used in the G.O. can be held to include the words "the pay of which is less than that of his own office", and if not, how else the grant of an allowance, if any, in a case of this kind should be regulated.

Another special feature in this case is that the appointment in respect of which an allowance is claimed for a little more than three months was in fact combined with the other appointment held by Sri Hanumappa at the end of this period and it is somewhat difficult to conceive that the substantial addition to work which existed when the offices were separate ceased to exist when the offices became combined in a single head of the two departments.

8) At the same time, in a somewhat analogous case, namely, the grant

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Representation of Sri K. Venkatappa, Dy of Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Dept —

File No. 2111/CB of 19 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

y a Charge allowance to Sri D. H. Veeriah, Deputy Comptroller doing duty as Chief Accountant, M. D. S. W. for being in additional charge y the duties y Secy, Board y Management, M. D. S. W — an appointment held by an Officer drawing a grade pay lower than that y a Dy Comptroller, the Fl. Br. has opined that the allowance payable has to be fixed as a special case as there are no rules covering the case. Incidentally, Fl. Br. has also proposed that the G. O. i/y May 1947 should in the interests y economy be Cancelled. Pending orders on this proposal, the allowance payable, y any, to Sri Nanjarappa for the additional charge held by him y the office y Special Officer for Efficiency Audit from 5. 6. 49 to 15. 9. 49 has to be determined and granted under the special orders y Govt.

14. 11. 49

9/ Please put up the file in which the pay y spl. officer, Anti-Corruption was fixed when Sri Nachia held it.

5/11/49

Submitted

The above could not be traced in spite of earnest effort made to get it from the Office

28/11/49

28. 11. 49

Serial No.

C 11

Report with reference to para 9 the C.B collection 197-46-1 dealing to the Govt order dt 7/12/46 appointing Sri Nachia as Special Officer, Anti Corruption and fixing his pay at Rs 700/- P.M. in grade 600-50-800 on ~~replaced~~ ~~by~~ with a duty allowance of Rs 100/- P.M. is placed below (i) the pay of the appointment by 8/12/46 of spl officer, Anti corruption was CKP 8/12 further revised to Rs 800-25-900 in G.O. No 3960-2/CB 156-47-1 dated 20-12-47. (ii) Sri Hanjarappa, Spl officer, Anti-Corruption (now Dir of Efficiency audit & Anti-corruption) was placed in addl charge of the duties of the Spl Officer Efficiency audit on the appointment of Sri D.K. Srinivasachar as Dy. Comr. He held this addl charge from the afternoon of 4-6-49 till 15-9-49, when Sri Hanjarappa was appointed Dir of Efficiency audit and Anti-Corruption. Now Sri Hanjarappa requests that he may be permitted to draw the duty allowance of Rs 100/- p.m. which Sri D.K. Srinivasachar was getting as Spl officer for the period of addl charge.

(iii) The pay of the Spl. officer, Efficiency audit, has not been fixed and Sri D.K. Srinivasachar was drawing his grade pay as Dy Asst Comr and a dut

Note.—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

duty allowance of Rs 100/- pm The pay of the appointment of the Spl. officer, ~~Efficiency Audit~~ Anti-Corruption is fixed at Rs 800-25-900, but Sri Nanjappa was given a pay of Rs 1000/- pm as Spl officer, Anti-Corruption. It may, therefore, be seen that Sri Nanjappa was in addl charge of an appointment, which was held by an officer drawing less pay than he. In an identical case, ~~this~~ the ~~This~~ viz the grant of charge allowance to Sri D. H. Venugopal Dy. comptroller doing duty as Chief Accountant, H.D.S.W. for having in addl charge of the duties of the Secy Board of Management, H.D.S.W. an appointment held by an officer drawing less pay than that of a Dy. comptroller, the Govt Secy has stated the allowance may be sanctioned as a spl case & that the G.O. of 1947 should in the interest of economy, be cancelled

2) Another feature is that the post of Spl. officer Efficiency Audit, in respect of which the allowance is now claimed was amalgamated and Sri Nanjappa was appointed Dir of Efficiency Audit and Anti Corruption in his own present

Representation of Sri K. Henjarappa director of Efficiency Audit & Anti corruption

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 211/CB of 1949 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

present pay of Rs 1000/- p.m. &c, therefore, gives room for doubt as to whether the said change entailed any increase of work to Sri Henjarappa.

15) In the above circumstances the request of Sri Henjarappa is a matter requiring special orders of Govt.

16) Fin. Dept. may also be consulted

Chief Secy) KMR 9/12/49

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 5497 12 DEC 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

17) The two posts were amalgamated as a measure of Economy. There is no reason to believe, either, that there has been increase of work so far as Sri Henjarappa's Cases. Considering his background and Experience or lack of it, I do not think it is possible for him, even by taking all these into consideration to inspect offices & detect cases of inefficiency. It must be admitted that in order to detect inefficiency in others, one must be efficient himself, which again means a wealth of Experience in various kinds of Office, and a thorough knowledge of rules of procedure, &c.

18) There does not seem to be any case for grant of an allowance.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Representation of Sri K. Hanjarappa
Director of Efficiency Audit and Anti-Corruption

File No. 211/CB of 1949. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

19) If the Efficiency Audit work has to be done vigorously & in the most desirable manner it would be necessary to entrust the work to a very senior officer with a wealth of experience behind him.

Chief Minister
Hon'ble Civil Secy
W/s

15
13/12
sim R
13/12/49

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
No. 6011
14 DEC 1949

20) I agree; not only in the Head of the office, but even down to the last man therein, as far as possible, there should be that wealth of experience, which can come only through a conscientious application to the work of various departments over a long period of years. That is why Sri N. Madhava Rao (Ex-Dewan) and Sri Appaji Gowda, to name only two could contribute to the efficiency of the offices.

21) — Speaking from my experience of the types of inefficiency now coming to my notice I may mention the following:- an enormous amount of delay in attending to any work; inability to grasp points at issue; ignorance of rules and precedents; incapacity to give expression to ideas; bad language and worse

Representation from Hanumantha Rao of Efficiency and Anti-Corruption

2111/CB

60/11/7C

60/11/7C

handwriting. Coming to particulars, Service books are not posted up; pension papers are not attended to and even the extent of powers of expenditure remains unknown to those who incur the same.

22) Inspection of an office means intimate acquaintance with the work of that office and the rules that govern such work An officer that can inspect all offices must be pretty nearly omniscient. Even after thirty years of service he would be a bold man who can make such a claim

23) It is after considering all aspects of the case that I have noted elsewhere that the combined office may be abolished.

24) I agree with the view in paragraph 18 above

14.12.49.

25) Art 96 of F.R seems to be a bar

15/12/49

(Chief Min as above)

26) I am afraid some of the reasons above have covered a wider ground that what was perhaps strictly necessary to enable a decision on the matter under disposal

27) The general aspect raised has to be dealt with independently on its merits. It seems to me that the Efficiency audit work has to be entrusted to some other officer

28) There are no reasons to sanction the allowance claimed

16/2/50

29) For personal & return

Chief Secy. 4/cs)

Ch. Secy 4/cs) 30)

11/2/50

11.2.50.

13/2

CB 13/2 13/2/50

CHIEF SECRETARY 5685 15 DEC 1949 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Office of the Chief Minister

No. 4970

Date 16/12/49

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT U. O. NO. FL 8441 11 FEB 1950 FINANCIAL SECRETARY, BANGALORE

CHIEF SECRETARY 793 13 FEB 1950 GOVT. OF MYSORE

Representation of Hengraffe - Dir of Anticorruption & Vigilance
Audit

2/11
CO.

31) Draft letter put up for approval.
Para 27 is for orders.

14/2/50

32) After issue of the draft letter, this file may be closed, and extracts of paras 17, 19, 20 & 23

Yes
and 27 taken and worked up separately.

33) Issue
work
14.2.50.

15.2.50

2/c

Hon Hengraffe, Dir of Anti-Corruption & Vigilance Dept No 10972/B 5) 16/2/50 stating that the allowance claimed cannot be sanctioned.

Abstract of paras 17, 19, 20 & 23 and 27 of the note taken and submitted separately for orders as instructed in para 32 supra.

14/2/50

B

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

10 972/C5

16th February 50

XxxxXxxxxx
xxxXxxxxxxxx

Sri K.Henjarappa,
Director of Anticorruption and
Efficiency Audit, Bangalore.

With reference to your note No.ACM.63/49-50 dated 10th November 1949, I am directed to state that Government regret that the allowance claimed cannot be granted.

Yours faithfully,

S/C 16/2/50
for Chief Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 16/2/50

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1097 2/CS

To Sri K. Nanayakkara

Dy Superintendent Accountant

Land & Attorney Clerk

Sir

With reference to your

~~representation~~ note No. ACH. 63/49-50 dated 10th Nov 49

I am directed to state that

Govt regret that the allowance

claimed cannot be granted

Yours faithfully

D.M.R.

for Ch. Sec'y

14/2/50

14.2.50.